

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/568,724	SCHAFFER ET AL.	
	Examiner Celia Chang	Art Unit 1625	

All Participants:
Status of Application: response to nonfinal 7/20/09

(1) Celia Chang. (3) _____.

(2) Joseph C. Suhadolnik. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 November 2009
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a
Claims discussed:
9-10
Prior art documents discussed:
n/a
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 9-10 are drawn to the non-elected claims which will be canceled without prejudice against applicant's filing of divisional applications. An examiner's amendment was authorized.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*/Celia Chang/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625*
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)